

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,954	06/17/2005	Roman Cetnar	19339-099979	3766
Robin W Ash	7590 01/06/200	EXAMINER		
Clark Hill			THROWER, LARRY W	
500 Woodward Avenue Suite 3500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Detroit, MI 48	226-3435		1791	
			WIT DUT	DEL HERMANDE
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/517,954	CETNAR, ROMAI	N
Examiner	Art Unit	
LARRY THROWER	1791	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

- Failu Any	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expose SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, to to reply within the set of extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the neighearing to be socrem (SANDONE) EQS U.S.C. § 133). reply received by the Office later than three months after the making date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any department of patient term adjustments. See 37 CFR T.(174b).
Status	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application.
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected.
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applicat	ion Papers
ا∟رہ	The specification is objected to by the Evaminer

- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.

Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/14/04

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/517,954 Page 2

Art Unit: 1791

3.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply

with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 1 recites the limitation "... in the same moulding station in which the step of co-moulding the shell on the metal element is performed." Although the specification describes two molding operations being performed using the same die, it does not exclude the single die from being moved

- between two "molding stations." Therefore, this limitation finds no support in the original application as filed.
 - The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/517,954 Page 3

Art Unit: 1791

The term "moulding station" in claim 1 is indefinite because it is unclear what a
moulding station is intended to encompass and the specification provides no
guidance as to the meaning of the term. For examination purposes, the term has
been interpreted to mean the two molding steps are performed using the same
molding die.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kleefeldt (US 5,505,506) in view of Schneegans (EP 940241; references are to corresponding Derwent Abstract 1999-520156).
- Regarding claim 1, Kleefeldt discloses a method for fabricating a supporting assembly for a lock of a motor vehicle, the lock including a plurality of mobile members (13, 16) hinged to corresponding pins (12, 15), and the supporting assembly including a shell (5) made of a plastic material (col. 2, lines 46-48), which defines a housing for at least one part of the mobile members (13, 16) of the lock, and at least one metal element (2, 14), which supports at least a part of the pins (figs. 1B-C). The method includes co-molding the shell made of plastic material on the metal element (col. 2, lines 61-63).

Application/Control Number: 10/517.954

Art Unit: 1791

· Kleefeldt fails to disclose co-molding a seal gasket on an edge of the shell made of

Page 4

plastic material in the same molding station in which co-molding the shell on the

metal element is performed. However, Schneegans discloses a method for

fabricating a supporting assembly for a lock of a motor vehicle in which a seal gasket

on an edge of the base member made of plastic material is co-molded in the same

molding station in which co-molding the shell on the metal element is performed

(abstract). As taught by Schneegans, co-molding the seal gasket on the edge of the

shell improves the bond between the seal and shell "...to give more reliable sealing

of the door lock and hence more reliable operation" (abstract). Thus, it would have

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

have modified the method for fabricating a lock supporting assembly of Kleefeldt

with the seal of Schneegans to provide more reliable sealing and operation of the

door lock, as taught by Schneegans.

Regarding claim 2. Kleefeldt discloses the lock including a mechanical actuating

assembly which is capable of being connected to manual control elements

associated to the door of the vehicle and which is capable of interacting with the mobile members from a lock striker (col. 2, lines 45-67), the method including

inserting a pin of the actuating assembly in the die in which the shell is moulded

such that the pin remains englobed in the shell (col. 3, lines 7-20).

Art Unit: 1791

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LARRY THROWER whose telephone number is 571-270-5517. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30AM-6PM est.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina A. Johnson can be reached on 571-272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Larry Thrower/ Examiner, Art Unit 1791

/Christina Johnson/

Application/Control Number: 10/517,954

Page 6

Art Unit: 1791

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791